UNCLASSII	Approved F	Or Rolesse 2	002/08/15	L · CΙΔ-RΠΡ	CONFIDENT	00010001-2	STAT
	Approved i	" ROUTII	AG, WIL	. RECORI	86-00101R00010	70010001-2	SIAI
SUBJECT: (Optional)		* -					:
<u>. </u>	3. 2284 -	National	Intel1	ligence	Act of 1980		STAT
					7		
					_		
							1
3.		=					
4.	sedis ravadas elemetillar elements abrahamatida era emerembia era	-					
•							
5.							
3.		: :					
				_			
6.							
	······································				-		
7.							
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
8.							Ī
9.		:					
		:					
10.							
		4 - 1					
11.		1					
12.							
		:					
13.					†		
		4					
14.		<u>i</u>			†		
		i			,		
15.		:			· '	8	,
 		4		·			
			1	1	1		

610 USE PREVAMPPOVE CEREPEIERS 2002 ONF 15 ENTAR DP86-001 INTERNAD 100010001 ONLY

CONFIDENTIAL

Approved For Release 2002/08/15: CIA-RDP86-00101R000100010001-2

OC M80-169

		i was in the	
25X1	MEMORANDUM FOR:	Mr. Special Assistant to the General Counsel	
25X1	FROM:	Executive Assistant, OC	
25X1	SUBJECT:	S. 2284 - National Intelligence Act of 1980	
	REFERENCE:	Your Memorandum, Same Subject, dated 12 February 1980	
25X1	Mr. the Office of Co examine the volu Intelligence Act we have conclude to above - that	lained in a telephone conversation between and the undersigned on 21 February 1980, communications (OC) required additional time to aminous material covered in the draft National t of 1980. Following our review of the package, ed - as mentioned in the conversation referred the draft is acceptable except for certain med in Title VI.	25X
25X1	the Bill remedic OC identified in shortcomings has Sections 613(a) as problematical unchanged. Also "review," and "control, then State Director of functions.	e pleased to see that this latest version of es many of the problem areas of Title VI which he earlier versions; however, not all of the we been corrected. Some wording contained in (14) and 641(d)(1), which had been identified hearly two years ago, remains basically o, if the words "coordinate," "consultation," guidance" are to be interpreted as elements of ection 641(d)(1) may be considered to provide NSA with such control over certain CIA	
	Section 641(d)(of NSA to review	particular concern for the wording used in 1), which requires that CIA allow the Director w unique COMSEC methods and procedures in destine activities. We have repeatedly	
	WARNING NOTICE- SOURCES AND MET		25×

CONFIDENTIAL

Approved For Release 2002/08/15 : CIA-RDP86-00101R000100010001-2

SUBJECT: S. 2284 - National Intelligence Act of 1980	25X ²
objected to that "prior review" caveat. Accordingly, it is requested that the Office of General Counsel endeavor to have the phrase "after review by the Director" stricken from the final clause of Section 641(d)(1). 4. Please refer any questions/comments you may have concerning our observations and/or request to the undersigned on secure extension	25X ⁻ 25X ⁻
cc:Mr.	25X ²
	objected to that "prior review" caveat. Accordingly, it is requested that the Office of General Counsel endeavor to have the phrase "after review by the Director" stricken from the final clause of Section 641(d)(1). 4. Please refer any questions/comments you may have concerning our observations and/or request to the undersigned on secure extension

432 7 10 us Au '80